G. R. S. 59]

97

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03

(S+A 455)

File No. 455 of 02-03

Serial Nos. 1–4

Papers Filed.

Month April 1903

SUBJECT.

Sanctions as a special case Charge allowance Claim in respect of Jalal Shivastadar Muhamed Khan and Yasabao for the first 30 days their respective charge of their Salaries.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE

REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

One form may be used for more than one letter of the same file as long as there is space. The Office Note should be in black ink. Write only on side of this form.

* No. and date of letter, from whom, catch words to denote nature of Subject should be stated, in red ink.

***Subject** Letter No. 4783/1345 d: 28th February 03 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore

Charge ~~of~~ and Malnad allces bills of Muhamad Khan and Vyasa Rao Sheristada of Mudgere Taluk

Note C. N. Chowdayya Amildar Mudgere Taluk was retired from se under Govt Prov: No. R 1365-9 d: 30 and Muhamed Abdul Hakim 1 posted to Mudgere. The former re relieved on the afternoon of the 6th 1902 and the latter took charge o the forenoon of the 14th November 1902 During the intervening period — Muhamad Khan and Vyasa Rao were in charge of the Taluk; the former from the 7th to the 26th October 1902 and the latter from the 27th Oct. to the 13th Nov. 1902

Three

Three bills on account of charge and mahad allces due therefor were submitted and sanctioned by Government. The Comptroller in the present letter points out that Muhamad Khan and Vyasa Rao having been in charge of the Taluk during the absence of Shivdayya on privilege leave preparatory to retirement, are not entitled to any charge allce under art: 85 A and requests to be informed whether the charge allce claimed and sanctioned by Govt may be admitted in these circumstances

× Flag B

× Flag C

The same objection was raised by the Dy Commr in the first instance before submitting the bills, and the Asst Commr upheld that art: 85 A would not apply to the case as the Sheristadar were in charge of the Taluk pending the arrival of a new Amildar to the Taluk owing to the retirement of Shivdayya but not during the absence of Shivdayya on privilege leave. The claim

× Flag D

of

of the Sheristadars is tenable or not according to the light in which it is viewed. If it is viewed that Chowdayya should be considered as finally retired on 6th Octr 02 (the date on which he was relieved of his duties) for all practical purposes and that the privilege leave granted to him preparatory to retirement is a special concession not provided under the rules and hence arrangements made during such period are not subject to the ordinary rules, then the claim is tenable otherwise, if it is viewed that the privilege leave preparatory to retirement, though not provided under the rules is yet the same as the privilege leave granted at any other time and that the arrangements made during such leave are subject to the ordinary rules, then the claim is untenable

Submitted.

DB
15/3/03

DB
23/3/03

As the allowances have been already sanctioned, I think this may be viewed as a special case & the S.O. confirmed. Draft submitted.

25/3/03

The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

mis 269
28-3-03.

Am I entitled to deal with this application? The other day the Secretary pointed out to me that "allowances" had not been applied to me under the new Rules of Business & that therefore he was dealing with them himself under the orders of the Dewan - If I am competent to deal with this case, I would say that it was dealt with as a special one and that the orders of Govt should be given effect to - The Legislature has already suggested that the Rule prohibiting the grant of privilege leave preparatory to retirement be amended.

30/3/03

Submitted to Secy Ld
31/3/03

As retirement was mentioned, I should have marked it to the 2nd in Sunday but I am inclined to think it was a mistake. Draft submitted to the Dewan for

5/4/13

9597
7.4.03

HA-1455

1902/03.

OFFICE OF THE
Regd. No 895
16 FEB 1903
RAILWAY

From The Deputy Commissioner
Madras District.

To The Secretary to Govt:
General and Revenue Depts
Bangalore

Dated 12th } February 03.
Despatched 13th }
Received

General No. 462
No.

Enclosure No. 3 bills.

Submitting for sanction three bills on behalf of Mahamed Ka and Vyasa Rao, Taluk Sheristadars of Madegere under the circumstances represented.

16.2.03

Please put up the reference given within.

16/2 Please see note made on P/A to 1439 07/6/1903.

20/2

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 12th February 08

To

P. Narain Rao Esquire
Deputy Commissioner.
Kadur District

To

N. V. Ranjundayya Esq M.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

In submitting herewith for favour of government sanction and transmission to the Comptroller for audit three bills, one for ~~Rs~~ 38-11-6 and the other two for Rs 35-10-0, being charge and mahad allowances claimed under articles 107 and 110 of the Mysore Civil Regulations by Muhammad Khan and Ryasa Rao, Taluk Shirestadars of Mudgere, for the period they were in charge of the Taluk in addition to their own duties, I have the honor to state that

that on the afternoon of the 6th October 1902, Sheristadar Muhamad Khan relieved Mr. C. R. Chandappa, Amildar, in consequence of his retirement — Sanctioned in Government Proceedings no: R 1365—9 dated 30th September 1902 and was in charge of the Taluk till 26th October 1902 when he (Sheristadar) was transferred to the Belur Taluk of the Hassan District. His Successor Sheristadar Vyasa Rao Similarly remained in charge of the Taluk for 13 days till Amildar Mr. Muhamad Abdul Hakim, who stood posted to Mudgere, assumed charge of the Taluk on the forenoon of the 14th November 1902

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

M Narain Rao
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

1903

Read

Letter no. 462 of 12th Feb. 1903 from the Dy Comm. Kadur dist submitting for sanction the marginally noted three bills aggregating Rs 74-6-4 on account of charge and mahad allce due to Mahamed Khan and Vyasa Rao Shanbhogan of the Mudgere Taluk for being in charge of the Ty on the retirement of the Amildar from 7th to 26th October and 27th October to 13th Nov. 1902 respectively

order thereon. 13077-8-9/24/1/03
P&A. 1116

The bills are sanctioned and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

[illegible]

for Secretary

gnb.
21.2.03

The Comptroller
The Dy Comm. Kadur dist

1. Charge & mahad allce bill of Mahamed Khan for October 1902 Rs 38-11-6.
2 — do — Vyasa Rao — do — Rs 9-10-10
3 — do — do — Rs 26-0-0

Rs 74-6-4

Sanction(2) for Rs 74-6-4 of 1902

Pta - 1584

12/5

Gen. 30]



Sgfo 455 of 1902

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq.,

Comptroller, Mysore Govt.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Fin & Rev. *Dept.*

Dated 28/3

Despatched 5/3/03

Received

General No. 4783

No. 1345

Enclosure No. 5

In reply to 13077-8/Pta 1116 of 24.2.03

SUBJECT.

Brings to notice that the charge allowance claimed by Muhammad Khan & Vijaya Rao during the absence of Mr. C. R. Chowdaiya Amildar of Nundydroog Tq, for the (illegible) days is inadmissable.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Reference to Records

1/3

-07

No. 4783 [illegible] / 1345

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

Bangalore, [illegible] 1903. 139

5-3-03

From

E. R. SUBRAYER, Esq., B.A.B.C.L.

Comptroller, Mysore Government.

P.L.A. 6-3-03

To [illegible]

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

[illegible] Revenue *Dept.*

Sir,

With reference to [illegible] No.1307-5/P&A[illegible] [illegible] February 1903 forwarding for credit 3 bills for charges [illegible] to Mr. [illegible] and Mrs. [illegible] Chemist [illegible] Bangalore in charge of the Silk Farm, 7th to 26th October and 27th October to 13th November 1902 respectively during the absence of Mr. [illegible] on privilege leave pre- [illegible] I have the honor to bring to your notice that the charge allowance therein claimed for the first 30 days [illegible] is admissible under Art. 95A of the Mysore Service Regulations and to request that you will be [illegible] if under the circumstances it could be altered.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

E R Subrayer

Comptroller.

HR

X Enclosed for Reference

D)

Read:—

Letter No. 4783/1345

S80a 1558/1902 dt: 28th Febuary 1903 from the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore pointing out that the charge allce claimed on behalf of the Sheristadars Muhamad Khan and Vyasa Rao in the three bills forwarded for audit with Govt Pro: No. 13077-8 P&A 1116 dt: 24th Febuary 1903 is inadmissible for the first thirty days under art: 85 A of the Mysore Service Regulations and requesting to be informed if under the circes it can be admitted.

Order thereon No. 14929 P&A 1375 dt 15/4/03

The allce claimed was sanctioned as a Special case and the Comptroller is requested to advise ~~the claim~~ the same and audit the bills which are herewith returned.

12/4 Secretary

dt 17/3 10.IV.03

To The comptroller with bills.

DB 27/3/03.

DB 28/3/03